Brevdato 08-10-2024

Afsender Anna Wingell (anna.wingell@gbbarnes.com.au)

Modtagere Officiel post til For Erhverv, Handel, Råstoffer, Justitsområdet og

Ligestilling (Postkasse, Departementet for Råstoffer og Justitsområdet); Bo Simmelsgaard (Sagsbehandler, Departementet for Råstoffer og Justitsområdet); Jargen

Departementet for Råstoffer og Justitsområdet); Jørgen T. Hammeken-Holm (Udv. Sagsbehandler, Departementet for

Råstoffer og Justitsområdet)

Akttitel Comments on Draft of Executive Order on limitation of minerals

covered by future exploration licenses is out for public

consultation

Identifikationsnummer 24656807

Versionsnummer 2

Ansvarlig Jørgen T. Hammeken-Holm

Vedlagte dokumenterComments on Draft of Executive Order on limitation of minerals

covered by future exploration licenses is out for public

consultation

Dokumenter uden PDFversion (ikke vedlagt)

Udskrevet 18. okt 2024

Til: Officiel post til For Erhverv, Handel, Råstoffer, Justitsområdet og Ligestilling (asn@nanoq.gl), Bo Simmelsgaard

(bosd@nanoq.gl), Jørgen T. Hammeken-Holm (JOEH@nanoq.gl)

Cc: greg.barnes@gbbarnes.com.au (greg.barnes@gbbarnes.com.au), bolette@mines.gl (bolette@mines.gl)

Fra: Anna Wingell (anna.wingell@gbbarnes.com.au)

Titel: Comments on Draft of Executive Order on limitation of minerals covered by future exploration licenses is out for

public consultation

Sendt: 08-10-2024 03:45

Dear Jørgen,

Sent on behalf of Rimbal Pty Ltd – Licence 2010-24

I have reviewed your proposed new changes and I, on the whole, agree its heading in the right direction with one possible exception.

The mineral sodalite – there was considerable work undertaken in the 1990's on turning this into a material to replace phosphate in soaps and detergent. In other words to use the mineral as an industrial mineral. Similarly we ourselves have found a use for its fluorescent properties. Therefore to limit sodalite may have a negative flow on affect.

The other problem with sodalite, at least from the Ilimaussaq intrusion is many of the colours of sodalite are brought on by radiation. The colours of sodalite here are:

Clear Natural colour

Green Natural colour

Blue Caused by uranium/ thorium from steenstrupine, usually when polluted

by the brown of the steenstrupine is in the jewel piece that is sold. The overall grade of thorium and uranium would be in excess of 1000 ppm, and in many specimens at the % level. Yes it does produce a very attractive blue and brown polished stone for a neck lace, but its

radioactive content is off the scale.

Red Very highly prized but it does not hold its colour well – again heavily

dependent on nearby radioactive. The presence of steenstrupine can be

seen throughout all specimens

The green and clear make up by far the majority, and often contain no uranium. They do not polish at all, but are suitable for industrial uses. Although as the licence predates the change, we would keep the sodalite rights.

It would worry me with the 100 ppm limit. It would concern me if one group were allowed to sell highly priced sodalite that carried 1000 ppm radioactives.

Kind Regards

Greg Barnes

Sent by Anna Wingell
Office Manager
On behalf of Greg Barnes

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email and delete this e-mail from your system. The recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. GB Barnes and Associates advises email recipients to carry out their own virus checks before opening any attachment.